```
7 TEFALTAN ALLONNENS AT LAW One Month Trade Center. Sulter 1800 Lone Beach, California 90831-1800 Long Beach, California 90831-1800 Long Beach, California 90831-1800 Long Beach, California 90831-1800 Long Beach California 90831-180
```

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
Erich P. Wise/State Bar No. 63219
1
   Aleksandrs E. Drumalds/State Bar No. 237101
   FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP
2
   One World Trade Center, Suite 1800
   Long Beach, California 90831-1800
3
   Telephone: (562) 435-2626
   Facsimile: (562) 437-7555
4
   James B. Nebel/State Bar No. 69626
5
   Conte C. Cicala/State Bar No. 173554
   FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP
6
   One California Street, Suite 350
   San Francisco, California
7
   Telephone: (415) 693-5566
   Facsimile: (415) 693-0410
   Attorneys for Defendant
   HANJIN SHIPPING CO., LTD.
```

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

```
CHELSEA, LLC, MARK RUSSO,
                                  CASE NO.: CV 07-5800 SC
ALLEN LORETZ, and IVAN
SIMPSON, individually and on behalf
                                  DEFENDANT HANJIN SHIPPING
of all others similarly situated,
                                  CO., LTD.'S ANSWER TO
                                  PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED FIRST
                                   AMENDED CLASS ACTION
        Plaintiffs,
                                   COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
                                   AND EQUITABLE RELIEF
  VS.
                                   (Jury Trial Demanded)
REGAL STONE, LTD., HANJIN
SHIPPING, CO., LTD., CONTI
CAIRO KG, NSB NEIDERELBE,
SYNERGY MARITIME, LTD., IN
PERSONAM, M/V COSCO BUSAN,
their engines, tackle, equipment,
appurtenances, freights, and cargo IN
REM
       Defendants.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

Def	endant H	ANJIN SH	IIPPING (CO., L	LTD. (1	hereinafter	"Hanjin")
responds to	the Veri	fied First	Amended	Class	Action	Complain	t filed by
plaintiffs CI	HELSEA,	LLC, MA	RK RUSS	SO, AL	LEN I	LORETZ, a	and IVAN
SIMPSON, i	ndividuall	y and on be	half of all	others	similarl	y situated (hereinafter
"Plaintiffs")	as follows	:					

- Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the 1. allegations of Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 2 of 2. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 4 of 4. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the 5. allegations of Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

11

12

13

14

1

2

23

24

21

25 26

27 28

6. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

- 7. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 8. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the 9. allegations of Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 10. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 11 of 11. Plaintiffs' Complaint.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

28

1

- 13. In answering Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, defendant Hanjin admits that it was the time charterer of defendant M/V COSCO BUSAN on November 7, 2007. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 14. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- In answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, defendant 15. Hanjin admits that this is an admiralty or maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is within this Court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1333 and Article III, § 2 of the United State Constitution. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

1

2

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
One World Trade Center, Suite 1800
Long Beach, California 90831-1800
(562) 435-2626

- 16. In answering Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, defendant Hanjin admits that venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims asserted by Plaintiffs occurred in this District. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 17. Defendant Hanjin admits the allegations of Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 18. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 19. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 20. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 21. Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	22.	Defendant	Hanjin	denies	the	allegations	of	Paragraph	22	of
Plaintiff	s' Con	nplaint.								

- Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the 23. allegations of Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin has insufficient information to respond to the 24. allegations of Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and based upon its lack of information and belief, defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 25 of 25. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 26 of 26. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 27 of 27. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 28 of 28. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 29 of 29. Plaintiffs' Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

	30.	Defendant	Hanjin	denies	the	allegations	of	Paragraph	30	of
Plaintif	fs' Cor	nplaint.								

- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 31 of 31. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 32 of 32. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 33 of 33. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 34 of 34. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 35. To the extent that Plaintiffs incorporate by reference allegations contained in Paragraph 35 Defendant Hanjin incorporates herein its responses thereto.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 36 of 36. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 37 of 37. Plaintiffs' Complaint.

5

12

- In answering Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, defendant 39. Hanjin admits that defendant Regal Stone is the owner of defendant M/V COSCO BUSAN. Defendant Hanjin further admits that it was the time charterer of defendant M/V COSCO BUSAN on November 7, 2007. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant Hanjin denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 40. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 40 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 41. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 41 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 42 of 42. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 43. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 43 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 44 of 44. Plaintiffs' Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ᇫ	
Ξ	
WISE	AW
∞ –	YS AT L
LCH CH	TORNEYS
ᆸ	ATTO
·LYNN,	
_	

	45.	Defendant	Hanjin	denies	the	allegations	of	Paragraph	45	of
Plaintiffs	a' Car									

- To the extent that Plaintiffs incorporate by reference allegations 46. contained in Paragraph 46 Defendant Hanjin incorporates herein its responses thereto.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 47 of 47. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 48 of 48. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 49 of 49. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 50 of 50. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 51. To the extent that Plaintiffs incorporate by reference allegations contained in Paragraph 51 Defendant Hanjin incorporates herein its responses thereto.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 52 of 52. Plaintiffs' Complaint.

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	53.	Defendant	Hanjin	denies	the	allegations	of	Paragraph	53	of
Plaintif1	s' Con	nplaint.								

- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 54 of 54. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 55 of 55. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 56 of 56. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 57 of 57. Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 58. Defendant Hanjin denies the allegations of Paragraph 58 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 60. Defendant Hanjin reserves the right to file appropriate amendments to this Answer, if necessary, if and when additional information is obtained.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

61. The Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state any claims upon which relief may be granted against this answering defendant.

7

8

10

FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP

16

28

SECOND AFFIRMATVE DEFENSE

62. Defendant Hanjin alleges that Plaintiffs lack the requisite standing to assert any claims against this answering defendant.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

63. Defendant Hanjin alleges that Plaintiffs' claims, in whole or in part, are not ripe for adjudication.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

64. Defendant Hanjin alleges that no case or controversy exists.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant Hanjin alleges that Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any, 65. which are denied, were caused or contributed to solely, or in part, by acts or omissions of third parties for whose acts or omissions defendant Hanjin is not liable.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant Hanjin alleges that Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate 66. the amount damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs' as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

67. Defendant Hanjin alleges Plaintiffs' complaint fails to allege sufficient facts to support any claim for the award of punitive damages against this answering defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

68. Defendant Hanjin alleges that Plaintiffs claims for declaratory and injunctive relief are barred because Plaintiff possesses an adequate remedy at law and cannot meet the criteria for imposition of injunctive remedies.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

69. If defendant Hanjin is found to have been the owner and/or operator of the M/V COSCO BUSAN on November 7, 2007, which defendant Hanjin denies, the amount of defendant Hanjin's liability is limited by 33 U.S.C. § 2704(a) and 42 U.S.C. § 9607(c).

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

70. Defendant Hanjin alleges that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction concerning Plaintiffs' claims against this answering defendant.

- 12 -

1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Verified First Amended Class Action Complaint on file herein, and that said complaint be dismissed;

- 2. That defendant Hanjin be awarded its costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred herein; and
- For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and 3. proper.

Dated: March 3, 2008 FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP

> /s/ Erich P. Wise By: Erich P. Wise Attorneys for Defendant HANJIN SHIPPING CO., LTD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27